

🚯 A RAJA-based Tuning Framework for Multi-Platform Performance Prediction 📃

Motivation

- Legacy physics applications need updating to run well on newer architectures but are not always designed for architecture flexibility
- With architectures changing frequently (multicore, many-core, GPU), applications need to be adaptable to many different architectures.
- Adaptive, flexible programming layers are necessary to intelligently search large optimization spaces.

KRIPKE

- KRIPKE is a proxy application for Sn particle transport developed at LLNL
- Highly dimensional: composed of directions, groups, zones, and moments
- Many possible nestings of data and execution. Difficult to find the best
- Solves the linear Bolzmann equation using sweeps over a 3D domain space
- Goal: find optimal execution policies for common configurations of KRIPKE



Sweep (t=1)

Sweep (t=2)

Time sequence of the sweep kernel (H^{-1}) moving through the mesh. Multiple sweeps can occur at the same time. Grid contention occurs when a location has equal manhattan distance from two or more sources (corners).

RAJA Performance Portability Layer

- Provides C++ abstractions to enable architecture portability
- Predefined execution policies exist for SIMD, OpenMP, and CUDA
- Nested and advanced loop transformations (tiling, reordering) are available
- Goal: use RAJA to drive optimization performance prediction for KRIPKE

Example RAJA Execution Policy to apply

NestedPolicy< ExecList< seq_exec, seq_exec, omp_for_nowait_exec, simd_exec>, OMP_Parallel< Tile< TileList< tile_none, tile_none, tile_none, tile_fixed<512>>, Permute<PERM_JIKL>

Basic loop implementation for d in range(0,dom<IDirection>(id)): for nm in range(0,dom<IMoment>(id)): for g in range(0,dom<IGroup>(id)): for z in range(0,dom<IZone>(id)):

Nested Policy applied to loop #pragma omp parallel for z2 in range(0,dom<IZone>(id),512): for d in range(0,dom<IDirection>(id)): for nm in range(0,dom<IMoment>(id)): #pragma omp for nowait for g in range(0,dom<IGroup>(id)): for z in range(z2, z2+512):



William K. Killian^{1,2}, Adam J. Kunen², Ian Karlin², John Cavazos^{1 1} University of Delaware ² Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Policy Description and Generation

- Execution policies: sequential, SIMD, OpenMP, collapsed OpenMP • Tiling policies: no tiling and fixed tiles of sizes 8, 32, 128, and 512 • Constraints: standard language conformance, tiles must fit in L3
- cache, no nested parallelism
- Policies are generated for each independent loop nest
- Kernel loop nest size: three 4-nested, one 3-nested, one 2-nested)

Sweep (t=3)

RAJA as a Tuning Framework



- Each loop nest is identified and re-written as a RAJA *forallN* loop
- The tuning configuration file describes the limitations above in a JSON format that the framework can process
- The framework will generate all accepted versions of each file and generate a corresponding header file for a loop nest, representing each applied policy as a type (e.g. NestedPolicy)
- Each version can then be compiled with a C++11 enabled compiler

Performance Analysis



- Architecture: dual-socket Intel Xeon E5-2670, 32GB DDR3 RAM
- **Compiler:** Clang 3.8.0 with OpenMP support (-03 -march=native) • The best independently discovered policies yields an overall application speedup over the basline OpenMP KRIPKE version by 19.5%

Feature Extraction and Performance Prediction

- ComIL is a *Com*mon *Instruction format for Learning, capable of representing many* modern ISAs (currently parsers exist for x84-64 and PTX)
- Special considerations for the construction of the graph and instructions are made for OpenMP and CUDA (specifically calls to parallel/device regions)



Performance Prediction with Graph-Based Program Features

- Split versions into three groups: training (10%), test (10%), and validation (80%)
- Extract projected eigenvectors from the adjacency matrix of each graph and create a projected view of the features, yielding a graph spectral feature (GSF) for each version
- Feed the training set through a deep neural network with a regression outcome on the execution time of a given instance. Use the test set to minimize overfitting.
- With the generated model, evaluate the remaining 80% of instances and compare the predicted performance to the actual performance. KRIPKE has mean accuracy of 93.3%

Conclusion and Future Work

- Used the RAJA performance portability layer to explore a large optimization space efficiently within the KRIPKE Sn transport proxy application
- The best known execution time of KRIPKE improves by 19.5%.
- Graph-based program feature extraction allows for an architecture-portable way of charactering programs for multiple architectures
- Execution time prediction from 20% of random kernel samples (10% train, 10% test) for KRIPKE achieves mean accuracy of 93.3%

Future Work

parallelism with many-core (Intel Knight's Landing) architectures

Acknowledgments and Resources

- American Nuclear Society M&C, 2015 [https://codesign.llnl.gov/kripke.php]
- *Report*, LLNL-TR-661403, Sep. 2014. [https://github.com/llnl/RAJA]



• Expand results to include GPU execution policies (NVIDIA Kepler/Pascal) and nested

[1] A. J. Kunen, T. S. Bailey, P. N. Brown, KRIPKE - A Massively Parallel Transport Mini-App, [2] R. D. Hornung and J. A. Keasler, The RAJA Portability Layer: Overview and Status, Tech [3] W. Killian, A. J. Kunen, I. Karlin, J. Cavazos, *Discovering Optimal Execution Policies in KRIPKE* using RAJA, ACM SRC SuperComputing 2016. [http://www.udel.edu/003786]