#### Variability: A Performance Nightmare

Allan Porterfield RENCI, UNC-Chapel Hill

# renci

RESEARCH 🔪 ENGAGEMENT 🔪 INNOVATION

## **My Interests**

Compiler Optimization – improve application performance Adaptive Runtime Scheduling – reduce application energy demands

Variability make both of these HARD (impossible?).

Chips are different (center of the wafer vs edge) Temperature changes both time and energy required BIOS settings change time and energy required Random latencies from other system load (network, file ...) Butterfly effect on adaptive algorithms



### **Autotuning Problems**

Run #	nofuse	nofuseX16	nofuseX32	nofuseX64
1	5.333394	5.147411	5.086881	5.304340

Autuning results from Jacobi-2D from PolyBench Dell M620 with 2 Intel E5-2680 @ 2.7GHz best 4 results from over a thousand compiler configurations

Looks like tiling improves cache utilization until a cache size is exceeded at which point it falls almost 6%



#### **Autotuning Problems**

Run #	nofuse	nofuseX16	nofuseX32	nofuseX64
1	5.333394	5.147411	5.086881	5.304340
2	5.144302	5.077856	5.125735	5.138848
3	5.369150	5.345376	5.203415	5.314528
4	5.037441	5.115155	5.179577	5.340713
5	5.408004	5.333346	5.156341	5.083266
6	5.242719	5.217077	5.373121	5.022142
7	5.055487	5.156706	5.199737	5.084126
Average	5.22721	5.19899	5.18926	5.18399

But on multiple runs a different story appears – Original answer sub-optimal



## **Better Autotuning?**

Make one pass of over all of the options (may be thousands)

Identify the fastest options (within 10%(?) of the absolute fastest) (hopefully single digits versions)

Rerun subset 10+ times to find best average



## Scheduling problem

ADCIRC – storm surge simulation

- synchronous SPMD with global synchronization each time step Test on 6 Nodes Intel E5-2450 @ 2.1 GHz
10 runs on each region – same input used for all tests
Test ran approximately 1 hour – about 20% of production run

Nodes	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Range	Slowdown
0-5	3419	3898	3523 (3481)	14(3.8)%	n/a
6-11	3495	3594	3553	2.8%	2.1%
12-17	3614	3743	3677	3.5%	5.6%
18-23	3434	3597	3500	4.7%	0.5%
24-29	3489	3573	3529	2.4%	1.3%

Average and Slowdown ignore one very slow test on region 0-5



## **Better SPMD Scheduling?**

Rank nodes within a cluster according to their "slowest" processor for Intel processors – probably the amount of time a node spends in TurboBoost

Try to schedule jobs on nodes on groups of nodes. ignores network demand issues which can outweigh SPMD synchronization delays



## **Energy Usage Problem**



ADCIRC – storm surge simulation 16 Nodes of Intel E5-2450 @ 2.1 GHz 10 identical executions – sorted by time >10% between chips



### **Better Energy Usage?**

Even socket energy demands – by reducing use by 'expensive' sockets

Schedule less work idle one or more cores use DVFS/DCM to reduce clock rate of cores



#### **Problem with Understanding Results**



100 executions (in order) of HPCCG 2 socket Intel E5-2650 @2.3GHz



Which run used?

## **Trusting Energy Results**

ADCIRC energy per Socket



System design evident

90000

88000

renc

- -- Socket 0 blue-brown lines
- -- Socket 1 yellow-green lines



## **Trusting Results**

100 runs of test Castro AMR execution on 2 different days input- inputs.2d.cyl\_in\_cartcoords
4 Nodes Intel E5-2450 @ 2.1 GHz
Same nodes, executable and inputs used both days

Date	Minimum	Maximum	Average
4/18/2016	18.56	34.51	25.11
4/19/2016	16.51	19.13	17.71

Differences

Slurm Queue 4/18 ~4000 run as root basically every core in the other nodes of the bladecenter being used executing a Genetics workflow Slurm Queue 4/19 ~680 run as user only half of nodes in bladecenter busy executing ADCIRC ng debugging could repeat once but vanished during



During debugging could repeat once but vanished during efforts to understand the cause

#### **Easier to trust results?**

Papers need to describe execution environment Temperature Other workload on system

Graphs that show the variance Candlestick, Whisker ...

. . .

